

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boot 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,433	07/27/2001	Laurence Lee	P430.12-0002	2032
7590 £1:06/2003			EXAMINER	
Z. Peter Sawicki WESTMAN, CHAMPIN & KELLY			TSOY, ELENA	
Suite 1600			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
International Centre, 900 Second Avenue South			1762	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-3319		DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	<u>-S</u>
P (1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/917,433	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elena Tsoy	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailified earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	September 2003		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 26-30</u> is/are pending in t	he application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-19 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •		
	Adminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	un priority under 25 H C.C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 0.5.0. §) 119(a)-(a) or (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen2. Certified copies of the priority documen		onlination No	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	·	·	
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Natice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	į

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on September 17, 2003 has been noted. Claims 13-19, 26-30 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Limitation about monitoring process parameters of claim 9 is already recited in claim 13 so that limitations of claim 19 are substantially identical to that of claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13-16, 18, 19, 26-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glatt et al (US 4,858,552) in view of Reynolds (US 3,354,863), further in view of Luy et al (US 5,631,102), and further in view of Cody et al (US 4,993,264).

Glatt et al in view of Reynolds in view of Luy et al are applied here for the same reasons as set forth in the Office Action mailed on June 16, 2002 (Paper No. 8).

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Art Unit: 1762

Glatt et al in view of Reynolds in view of Luy et al fail to teach that an inlet air temperature, a product temperature, a spray liquid temperature, a spray nozzle temperature, an atomizing air temperature, a spray liquid line temperature, a coating zone temperature, a fluidizing gas flow, and atomizing gas pressure are monitored.

Cody et al teach that pressure, temperature and net volume or mass flow are the normal way of monitoring the state of fluidization within a fluidized bed or while a unit is operating (See column 2, lines 27-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have monitored an inlet air temperature, a product temperature, a spray liquid temperature, a spray nozzle temperature, an atomizing air temperature, a spray liquid line temperature, a coating zone temperature, a fluidizing gas flow, and atomizing gas pressure in a process of Glatt et al in view of Reynolds in view of Luy et al for coating particles with the expectation of providing the desired normal coating operation since Cody et al teach that pressure, temperature and net volume or mass flow are the normal way of monitoring the state of fluidization within a fluidized bed or while a unit is operating.

5. Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glatt et al (US 4,858,552) in view of Reynolds (US 3,354,863), further in view of Luy et al (US 5,631,102), further in view of Biehl et al (US 4,217,851), and further in view of Cody et al (US 4,993,264).

Glatt et al in view of Reynolds in view of Luy et al in view of Biehl et al are applied here for the same reasons as set forth in the Office Action mailed on June 16, 2002 (Paper No. 8).

Glatt et al in view of Reynolds in view of Luy et al in view of Biehl et al fail to teach that an inlet air temperature, a product temperature, a spray liquid temperature, a spray nozzle

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Art Unit: 1762

temperature, an atomizing air temperature, a spray liquid line temperature, a coating zone temperature, a fluidizing gas flow, and atomizing gas pressure are monitored.

Cody et al teach that pressure, temperature and net volume or mass flow are the normal way of monitoring the state of fluidization within a fluidized bed or while a unit is operating (See column 2, lines 27-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have monitored an inlet air temperature, a product temperature, a spray liquid temperature, a spray nozzle temperature, an atomizing air temperature, a spray liquid line temperature, a coating zone temperature, a fluidizing gas flow, and atomizing gas pressure in a process of Glatt et al in view of Reynolds in view of Luy et al in view of Biehl et al for coating particles with the expectation of providing the desired normal coating operation since Cody et al teach that pressure, temperature and net volume or mass flow are the normal way of monitoring the state of fluidization within a fluidized bed or while a unit is operating.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments filed September 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state that the Examiner's rejection was not fully understood.

In the rejection of independent claims 13, 26 and 30, limitations of claim 19, were not addressed. For this reason, the Examiner made Non-Final rejection where new added limitations of claim 19 were addressed.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is (703) 605-1171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Thur. 9:00-7:30, Mo-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ET

MICHAELBARR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elena Tsoy Examiner Art Unit 1762

October 31, 2003